Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/748,399	WEISSMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	PAUL KIM	2169
All Participants: Status of Application: After Non-Final Rejection		
(1) <u>Paul Kim</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Jordan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 June 2011	Time: <u>2:00PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Moderate ☐ Yes		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 12, 20, 31, 55, and 65		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Representative for Applicant and the Examiner briefly discussed the proposed Examiner's Amendment. Specifically, the Examiner suggested that the feature of strength values of both directional weights be provided wherein said strength values differ.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. The proposed of the population to provide a separate redirectly applicable. The proposed of the provided to provide a separate redirectly applicable. The provided the provided to provide a separate redirectly applicable. The provided the provided to provide a separate redirectly applicable. The provided the provided the provided to provide a separate redirectly applicable. The provided the provid	examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	by the examiner appears in F	Part II above.
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		